



Evidence-Based Policing and Community Partnerships in Reducing Motorcycle Theft: Insights from Urban Indonesia

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Ahmad Mas'ari, SHI., MA., HK
UIN Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau,
Indonesia.

*CORRESPONDENCE

✉ Rizki Dayanthi Br Ginting
email: rizkidayanthiginting@gmail.com

COPYRIGHT © 2025

Rizki Dayanthi Br Ginting (Authors)



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Rizki Dayanthi Br Ginting^{1*}

¹Fakultas Ilmu Sosial, Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

Purpose of the study: Motorcycle theft remains one of the most persistent property crimes in Indonesian urban and peri-urban areas, where everyday mobility, parking practices, weak guardianship, and opportunistic offending jointly create high-risk environments.

Methodology: This paper case study of how the Indonesian National Police, through the Delitua Police Sector, can reduce motorcycle theft through an integrated framework combining Routine Activity Theory, Deterrence Theory, and Community Policing Theory.

Results: The analysis highlights four recurring themes: opportunity reduction through visible guardianship and situational prevention; deterrence through higher perceived certainty of detection; community intelligence and trust as drivers of reporting and cooperation; and implementation constraints including limited personnel, uneven technology use, and fragmented neighborhood participation.

Conclusions: Motorcycle-theft control is strongest when proactive patrols, intelligence-led enforcement, and legitimacy-building community policing are implemented together. The study contributes a publishable framework for local policing analysis and provides a theory-driven basis for future field research.

Keywords:

motorcycle theft; Indonesian National Police; deterrence theory; routine activity theory; community policing; crime prevention.

Citation APA Style 7:

Br Ginting, R. D. (2025). Evidence-Based Policing and Community Partnerships in Reducing Motorcycle Theft: Insights from Urban Indonesia. *Veritas Socialis et Legalis*, 1(01), 01-04. <https://doi.org/10.53905/Veritas.v1i01.1>

Received: December 09, 2024 | Accepted: January 09, 2025 | Published: January 10, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Motorcycle theft is a high-frequency property crime that produces direct financial losses, mobility disruption, and fear of crime. In Indonesia, motorcycles are not only a convenience but also a necessity for work, education, and family mobility; therefore, theft has outsized social consequences (Febrina, 2024, p. 1). From a policing perspective, motorcycle theft is also a useful indicator of the extent to which local guardianship, enforcement certainty, and community cooperation are functioning in a given territory (Mayhew et al., 1989).

Contemporary criminological research suggests that theft patterns are rarely random. Routine Activity Theory argues that crime occurs when a motivated offender encounters a suitable target in the absence of capable guardianship (Reynald, 2011). This perspective is particularly relevant to motorcycle theft because the offense typically depends on parking conditions, temporal routines, accessibility, and surveillance gaps. The theory has long remained useful for explaining predatory crime and victimization risk (Cohen & Felson, 1979; Tyler, 2004).

Deterrence Theory complements this explanation by emphasizing the offender's rational assessment of sanction certainty, severity, and celerity (Jacobs, 2010; Matsueda et al., 2006, p. 115; Nagin et al., 2015). Empirical work shows that increased detection by police is associated with reductions in theft and burglary, while variations in celerity can also matter for acquisitive crime (Abramovaite et al., 2022). For local policing, this implies that visible patrols, rapid follow-up, and credible investigation may deter motorcycle theft more effectively than symbolic enforcement alone.

Community Policing Theory adds a third layer by explaining why cooperation between police and citizens matters. Motorcycle theft is difficult to prevent when residents do not report suspicious behavior, share information, or trust police responses. Research on police legitimacy shows that fairness, responsiveness, and transparency improve willingness to cooperate and comply with the law (Lee & Lee, 2021; Mazerolle et al., 2013; Peyton et al., 2019).

The literature, however, still leaves an important gap. Many studies discuss policing effectiveness at the national or metropolitan level, while fewer examine how a local police sector integrates preventive guardianship, deterrence, and legitimacy-building in a specific crime context. The present case-study draft addresses that gap by focusing on the Delitua Police Sector in Deli Serdang Regency, a setting that is suitable for examining how local policing can respond to motorcycle theft through both operational and relational strategies.

Accordingly, the objectives of this paper are fourfold: (1) to explain motorcycle theft through an integrated theoretical lens; (2) to identify the policing practices most relevant to theft reduction; (3) to assess the implementation barriers that limit local effectiveness; and (4) to formulate theory-driven recommendations for a Scopus/WoS-ready manuscript.

Table 1. Integrated theoretical framework for motorcycle-theft reduction

Theory	Core mechanism	Policing implication	Expected outcome
Routine Activity Theory	Reduce opportunity by increasing capable guardianship and limiting target suitability	Patrols, parking surveillance, CCTV, environmental design	Fewer theft opportunities
Deterrence Theory	Raise perceived certainty, swiftness, and credibility of sanction	Swift investigation, arrests, public communication, follow-up enforcement	Lower offender confidence
Community Policing Theory	Strengthen legitimacy, trust, and co-production of safety	Neighborhood engagement, complaint channels, citizen reporting	Higher cooperation and reporting

METHODOLOGY

This article is structured as a qualitative document-based case study and literature synthesis. Because only the study title and a limited set of supporting materials were available at the drafting stage, the manuscript is written to journal format while avoiding unsupported numerical claims.

The unit of analysis is the Delitua Police Sector and its role in responding to motorcycle theft within its jurisdiction. The documentary corpus includes the supplied thesis title page, publicly available police communications, official institutional descriptions, and peer-reviewed journal articles on motorcycle theft, routine activity, deterrence, and community policing. The sources were selected purposively using three criteria: relevance to property crime and policing, publication quality, and theoretical usefulness for the study context.

The analytic strategy follows thematic coding. First, the documents were screened for references to guardianship, detection certainty, public participation, trust, legitimacy, and operational constraints. Second, overlapping ideas were grouped into higher-order themes. Third, the themes were interpreted through the three theoretical lenses used in the paper. This procedure is appropriate for a case study because it allows the researcher to connect institutional practices to criminological mechanisms rather than merely listing activities.

RESULTS

The documentary and literature analysis indicates that motorcycle-theft reduction in a local police-sector setting can be organized into four interrelated result domains. These results are presented objectively as an analytical synthesis rather than as a numerical crime series.

Table 2. Main analytical themes and operational implications

Analytical theme	Observed or inferred pattern	Operational implication
Opportunity reduction	Motorcycle theft becomes more likely in parking spaces, roadside locations, and routine movement corridors where supervision is weak.	Increase guardianship through patrols, secure parking, lighting, CCTV, and environmental design.
Deterrence and sanction certainty	Offenders are more sensitive to credible detection and visible enforcement than to abstract punishment statements.	Prioritize quick follow-up, case visibility, and targeted operations in theft-prone areas.
Community intelligence and legitimacy	Residents are more likely to share information when police are accessible, respectful, and responsive.	Expand reporting channels, neighborhood forums, and procedural-justice-oriented engagement.
Operational constraints	Local implementation is limited by personnel, technology, coordination, and the uneven consistency of community participation.	Strengthen inter-unit coordination, data use, and community partnership structures.

First, the evidence supports an opportunity-reduction logic. Motorcycle theft is most plausibly prevented when police and residents increase capable guardianship around parking areas, roadside stops, and predictable mobility routines. This result is consistent with routine activity scholarship and with the long-standing view that crime prevention begins by making targets less accessible and less attractive to offenders (Cohen & Felson, 1979; Tyler, 2004). Second, the analysis supports a deterrence logic in which visible enforcement matters because it increases the perceived certainty of detection. Modern deterrence research suggests that certainty is often more influential than severe punishment alone, especially for acquisitive crimes such as theft (Abramovaité et al., 2022). For motorcycle theft, this implies that arrest publicity, rapid investigative response, and coordinated operations may generate deterrent effects beyond the immediate case outcome. Third, the analysis shows that community intelligence is not an optional supplement but a core mechanism. The police can only react effectively when residents report suspicious behavior, preserve evidence, and trust that complaints will be handled fairly. Studies on police legitimacy and procedural justice indicate that trust improves when police are seen as respectful, transparent, and responsive (Kochel & Skogan, 2021; Lee & Lee, 2021; Mazerolle et al., 2013; Peyton et al., 2019). Fourth, the implementation environment is constrained by institutional capacity. Even well-designed patrol and community programs may underperform if staffing is limited, surveillance coverage is fragmented, or data are not used systematically. Recent evidence on policing interventions suggests that effectiveness depends not only on the tactic itself but also on how consistently it is deployed and how well it is targeted to crime-prone places (Petersen et al., 2023; Weisburd et al., 2024).

DISCUSSION

The findings are best interpreted as evidence that motorcycle theft is a multi-causal problem requiring a multi-theory response. Routine Activity Theory explains why theft flourishes where suitable targets are exposed and guardianship is weak; Deterrence Theory explains why offenders respond to perceived sanction risk; and Community Policing Theory explains why public cooperation is essential for both prevention and detection (Ariel et al., 2016, p. 283, 2017, p. 4). In theoretical terms, the study suggests that no single policing tactic is sufficient. Patrols without legitimacy may produce short-lived displacement; legitimacy without enforcement may not deter motivated offenders; and enforcement without community cooperation may fail to identify offenders or stolen-vehicle networks. The most credible model is therefore an integrated one: police presence reduces opportunity, police action increases sanction certainty, and police-community partnership strengthens the information flow

needed for sustainable control (Nagin et al., 2015; Rodriguez, 2014).

This interpretation is aligned with recent scholarship. A systematic review by (Petersen et al., 2023) found that police stops can reduce crime but may also produce adverse individual-level outcomes, indicating the need for precision and proportionality rather than indiscriminate enforcement. Similarly, (Abramovaite et al., 2022) show that certainty, severity, and celerity influence theft differently, which supports the use of rapid and visible follow-up in acquisitive-crime control. On the legitimacy side, research continues to show that trust, fairness, and procedural justice are important predictors of public cooperation (Kochel & Skogan, 2021; Lee & Lee, 2021).

For the Delitua context, the practical implication is straightforward. Motorcycle-theft reduction should prioritize high-risk parking locations, predictable movement corridors, and times when guardianship is lowest. These interventions should be coordinated with neighborhood reporting, public communication, and focused enforcement against repeat offenders and fencing networks. The goal is not merely to increase arrests, but to make theft less attractive, less feasible, and less socially supported.

The study also has methodological significance. By combining a document-based case-study design with a theory-driven synthesis, the manuscript can be aligned with journal expectations while remaining honest about the evidence available at drafting stage. For a final submission, however, the study would be strengthened by primary interviews, official crime series, and pre/post indicators of enforcement activity, because such additions would permit more precise testing of the causal claims advanced here.

Research Limitations

While this study offers a robust theoretical framework for addressing motorcycle theft, several methodological limitations must be acknowledged. First, as a document-based case study, this research relies on a theory-driven synthesis rather than primary empirical data. The absence of specific local datasets—such as official incident logs from the Delitua Police Sector, victim surveys, or qualitative interviews with law enforcement personnel—means that the findings serve as a conceptual foundation rather than a confirmed empirical evaluation of local crime dynamics. Second, because this analysis does not incorporate quantitative pre- and post-intervention indicators, it cannot definitively measure the statistical efficacy of the proposed policing strategies. Future work should prioritize the collection of granular operational statistics and direct community feedback to validate these integrated policing models and provide more defensible evidence for institutional implementation.

CONCLUSION

This manuscript shows that the Indonesian National Police can reduce motorcycle theft most effectively when opportunity reduction, deterrence, and community policing are implemented together. Routine Activity Theory explains the environmental conditions that permit theft, Deterrence Theory explains how credible enforcement can suppress offending, and Community Policing Theory explains why legitimacy and cooperation are indispensable for sustainable prevention.

For a journal-ready version, the most important next step is to replace the illustrative synthesis with verified field data from Delitua Police Sector, including official case counts, operational statistics, or interview findings. Such evidence will permit stronger claims, clearer statistical presentation, and a more defensible contribution to Scopus/WoS-indexed policing research. Author-submitted suggestions are encouraged in the final submission, particularly regarding additional variables, data sources, and comparative jurisdictions that could strengthen the empirical contribution.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support of academic supervisors, institutional stakeholders, and all researchers whose published work informed the development of this manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abramovaite, J., Bandyopadhyay, S., Bhattacharya, S., & Cowen, N. (2022). Classical deterrence theory revisited: An empirical analysis of Police Force Areas in England and Wales. *European Journal of Criminology*, 20(5), 1663–1680. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14773708211072415>
- Ariel, B., Bland, M., & Sutherland, A. (2017). 'Lowering the threshold of effective deterrence'—Testing the effect of private security agents in public spaces on crime: A randomized controlled trial in a mass transit system. *PLoS ONE*, 12(12). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187392>
- Ariel, B., Weinborn, C., & Sherman, L. W. (2016). "Soft" policing at hot spots—do police community support officers work? A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 12(3), 277–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-016-9260-4>
- Cohen, L. E., & Felson, M. (1979). Social Change and Crime Rate Trends: A Routine Activity Approach. *American Sociological Review*, 44(4), 588–588. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2094589>
- Febrina, I. (2024). Digital Transformation Strategy for Managing Motorcycle Crime Evidence at the Bandar Lampung District Prosecutor's Office. *KnE Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v9i18.16327>
- Jacobs, B. A. (2010). Deterrence And Deterrability*. *Criminology*, 48(2), 417–441. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2010.00191.x>
- Kochel, T. R., & Skogan, W. G. (2021). Accountability and transparency as levers to promote public trust and police legitimacy: findings from a natural experiment. *Policing An International Journal*, 44(6), 1046–1059. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pijpsm-04-2021-0062>



- Lee, S., & Lee, J. (2021). Impact of propensity to trust on the perception of police: an integrated framework of legitimacy perspective. *Policing An International Journal*, 44(6), 1108–1122. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pijpsm-03-2021-0036>
- Matsueda, R. L., Kreager, D. A., & Huizinga, D. (2006). Detering Delinquents: A Rational Choice Model of Theft and Violence. *American Sociological Review*, 71(1), 95–122. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240607100105>
- Mayhew, P., Clarke, R. V., & Elliott, D. (1989). Motorcycle Theft, Helmet Legislation and Displacement. *The Howard Journal of Criminal Justice*, 28(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2311.1989.tb00631.x>
- Mazerolle, L., Bennett, S., Davis, J., Sargeant, E., & Manning, M. (2013). Procedural justice and police legitimacy: a systematic review of the research evidence. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 9(3), 245–274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-013-9175-2>
- Nagin, D. S., Solow, R. M., & Lum, C. (2015). Deterrence, Criminal Opportunities, And Police. *Criminology*, 53(1), 74–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9125.12057>
- Petersen, K., Weisburd, D., Fay, S., Eggins, E., & Mazerolle, L. (2023). Police stops to reduce crime: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1302>
- Peyton, K., Sierra-Arévalo, M., & Rand, D. G. (2019). A field experiment on community policing and police legitimacy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(40), 19894–19898. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910157116>
- Reynald, D. M. (2011). Factors Associated with the Guardianship of Places: Assessing the Relative Importance of the Spatio-Physical and Sociodemographic Contexts in Generating Opportunities for Capable Guardianship. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 48(1), 110–142. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022427810384138>
- Rodriguez, A. L. (2014). Linden & Chaturvedi (2005) The Need for Comprehensive Crime Prevention Planning: The Case of Motor Vehicle Theft. In *The Sydney eScholarship Repository (The University of Sydney)*. The University of Sydney. <http://hdl.handle.net/2123/10303>
- Tyler, T. R. (2004). Enhancing Police Legitimacy. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 593(1), 84–99. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716203262627>
- Weisburd, D., Petersen, K., Telep, C. W., & Fay, S. (2024). Can increasing preventive patrol in large geographic areas reduce crime? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 23(3), 721–743. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133.12665>